IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SIRI JAGAN

FRIDAY, THE 16TH NOVEMBER 2007 / 25TH KARTHIKA 1929

WP(C).No. 33842 of 2007(D)

PETITIONER:

J.LEENA, D/O.LAKSHMANAN,
"LAVANYA", PARUMALA.P.O., MANNAR, WORKING AS
H.S.A (SOCIAL SCIENCE), D.B.H.S.S., CHERIYANADU.

BY ADV. SRI.P.HARIDAS
SMT.DEEPA G.PAL

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY THE
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, DEPARTMENT OF
GENERAL EDUCATION, SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM

2. THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS,
OFFICE OF THE D.P.I., THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER,
THIRUVALLA.

4. THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER,
MAVELIKKARA.

5. TRAVANCORE DEVASWOM BOARD, REPRESENTED
BY ITS SECRETARY, DEVASWOM BOARD OFFICE,
NANDANCAUD, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY ADV. SRI.K.N.VENUGOPALA PANICKER, SC, TDB
GOVT. PLEADER, SRI.A.J.VARGHESE

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 16/11/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

S.SIRI JAGAN, J.

==========

W.P.(C) No.33842 of 2007(D)

==========

Dated this the 16th day of November, 2007

JUDGMENT

The petitioner is an HSA (Social Science) in the School managed by the Travancore Devaswom Board. On account of reduction in posts on staff fixation petitioner was liable to be retrenched. The petitioner claims protection in preference to her juniors under the same management. However, the petitioner is not being paid salary from 2005 March onwards while juniors are being paid salary without any break. In the above circumstances, the petitioner has filed Ext.P2 petition before the 1st respondent. Petitioner seeks expeditious disposal of the same.

2. I have heard the learned Government Pleader as also the learned counsel appearing for the 5th respondent. In the facts and circumstances of the case, there would be a direction to the 1st respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders on

Ext.P2 as expeditiously as possible at any rate within two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment after affording an opportunity for hearing the petitioner as well as 5th respondent.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

Sd/-
S.SIRI JAGAN,
JUDGE

jp